



Ekberg, S. J., Barnes, R. K., Kessler, D. S., Mirza, S., Montgomery, A. A., Malpass, A., & Shaw, A. R. G. (2015). Relationship between Expectation Management and Client Retention in Online Cognitive Behavioural Therapy. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 43(6), 732-743. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1352465814000241>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1017/S1352465814000241](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1352465814000241)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the accepted author manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Cambridge University Press at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1352465814000241>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

Relationship between expectation management and client retention in online Cognitive Behavioural Therapy

Stuart Ekberg¹, Rebecca K Barnes², David S Kessler², Selman Mirza³, Alan A Montgomery⁴, Alice Malpass², and Alison R G Shaw²

¹ Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology, Australia

² Centre for Academic Primary Care, School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, United Kingdom

³ Diabetes Trials Unit, Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism, University of Oxford

⁴ Nottingham Clinical Trials Unit, University of Nottingham, United Kingdom

Background: Engaging clients from the outset of psychotherapy is important for therapeutic success. However, there is little research evaluating therapists' initial attempts to engage clients. This article reports retrospective analysis of data from a trial of online Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT) for depression. Qualitative and quantitative methods were used to evaluate how therapists manage clients' expectations at the outset of therapy and its relationship with client retention in the therapeutic intervention.

Aims: To develop a system to codify expectation management in initial sessions of online CBT and evaluate its relationship with retention.

Method: Initial qualitative research using conversation analysis identified three different communication practices used by therapists at the start of first sessions: no expectation management, some expectation management, and comprehensive expectation management. These findings were developed into a coding scheme that enabled substantial inter-rater agreement (weighted Kappa = 0.78; 95% CI: 0.52 to 0.94) and was applied to all trial data.

Results: Adjusting for a range of client variables, primary analysis of data from 147 clients found comprehensive expectation management was associated with clients remaining in therapy for 1.4 sessions longer than those who received no expectation management (95% CI: -0.2 to 3.0). This finding was supported by a sensitivity analysis including an additional 21 clients (1.6 sessions, 95% CI: 0.2 to 3.1).

Conclusions: Using a combination of qualitative and quantitative methods, this study suggests a relationship between expectation management and client retention in online CBT for depression, which has implications for professional practice. A larger prospective study would enable a more precise estimate of retention.

Keywords: expectation management; initial session; Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT); conversation analysis; psychotherapy process; outcome

Acknowledgements: This research was funded by a grant from the Bupa Foundation (UK).

Corresponding Author: Stuart Ekberg, Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology, 60 Musk Avenue, Kelvin Grove, Queensland, 4059.

Introduction

Psychotherapy is a dynamic interpersonal process in which a therapist and client must find ways of working together to alleviate the client's mental distress. Generating an empirical understanding of this process is an enduring challenge. Across a range of different approaches to psychotherapy, some common features are thought to relate to therapeutic outcome (Rosenthal & Frank, 1956; Rosenzweig, 1936; Stiles, Shapiro, & Elliott, 1986). One such feature is interaction between therapist and client. It is unclear, however, whether the social interactions through which therapy are delivered are themselves sufficient for therapeutic change, whether they are a means for accomplishing a therapeutic end, or whether good relationships result from positive progress made in therapy (Barber, 2009; DeRubeis, Brotman, & Gibbons, 2005; Hardy, Cahill, & Barkham, 2007).

In spite of decades of research, no definitive understanding has emerged about the independent role of factors common to all psychotherapeutic approaches, such as the therapist-client relationship, and specific factors like the therapeutic approach that is used (Barber, 2009; DeRubeis et al., 2005; Hardy et al., 2007; Shaw et al., 1999; Strunk, Brotman, DeRubeis, & Hollon, 2010; Wampold, 2005; Webb, DeRubeis, & Barber, 2010). This is perhaps not surprising, given that such factors can be inter-related (Barber, 2009; Castonguay & Holtforth, 2005). The therapeutic relationship is, after all, the domain through which a range of therapeutic activities are accomplished, including those specific to a particular approach (Castonguay, Constantino, McAleavey, & Goldfried, 2010; Sharpless, Muran, & Barber, 2010). For example, although the CBT approach advocates specific procedures for initiating first sessions with clients (J. S. Beck, 2011; Persons, 2001), all therapeutic approaches involve socialising the client into the therapeutic process (Crits-Christoph, Crits-Christoph, & Gibbons, 2010; Horvath, Del Re, Flückiger, & Symonds, 2011). It may not always be clear, therefore, whether any given moment in therapy is underpinned by a therapy-specific or non-specific rationale. It may be both.

For this reason we have made no attempt to distinguish between therapy-specific and generic action. Rather, we aim to understand therapeutic interventions through observational research based on what happens in actual therapy sessions. As there is little research on specific ways in which good working relationships between therapists and clients are formed (Del Re, Flückiger, Horvath, Symonds, & Wampold, 2012; Horvath & Symonds, 1991; Kozart, 2002), our aim is to identify discrete communicative practices used in psychotherapy that can be evaluated with respect to any relationship between psychotherapeutic process and outcome.

The study reported here utilised existing data from a randomised controlled trial that demonstrated the effectiveness of online CBT for treating people diagnosed with depression (Kessler et al., 2009). In our current study we closely examined typed transcripts of initial therapy sessions to understand the process through which the therapeutic intervention was produced. Our focus in this article is how therapists managed clients' expectations in initial sessions and its relationship with client retention to the therapeutic process.

Opening therapy effectively is crucial to establishing and managing shared expectations about the therapeutic process and the roles that each party should fulfil, which is considered a necessary pre-condition for a successful outcome (Constantino, Glass, Arnkoff,

Ametrano, & Smith, 2011; Hardy et al., 2007; Strunk, Brotman, & DeRubeis, 2010). Socialisation into CBT can be achieved using techniques such as orienting clients to the structure of the session (Persons, 2001) and agenda-setting (Blagys & Hilsenrot, 2002). Therapists are advised to set agendas with their clients at the beginning of sessions, to agree on a series of tasks that the dyad will engage in to progress the therapeutic agenda (J. S. Beck, 2011). However, in qualitative research undertaken in preparation for the current study, we found that therapists do not always manage client expectations as advocated in CBT handbooks (Ekberg, Barnes, Kessler, Malpass, & Shaw, in press).

Using conversation analysis, we studied opening moments of first sessions of online CBT, identifying the different degrees by which therapists managed clients' expectations of the therapeutic process (Ekberg et al., in press). One way in which first sessions were opened involved no expectation management (and which we therefore describe as 'Type 0'). Rather than managing the client's expectations about therapy, this type of opening typically involved the therapist directly proceeding to assessing the client's situation (J. S. Beck, 2011). Another way first sessions were opened ('Type 1') involved therapists giving some explanation of how the first session would proceed, before moving into the assessment phase. These explanations, however, did not involve describing what would happen beyond the first session. A final way first sessions were opened ('Type 2') involved a more comprehensive explanation that included what would happen in the first session and projecting what would happen in future sessions. Some therapists adopted a consistent approach to managing clients' expectations, while others tended to vary. Detailed analysis of these three types of openings, including evidence of the immediate consequences for the interaction between therapist and client, are reported elsewhere (Ekberg et al., in press). The research reported here evaluates more distal consequences of this expectation management by considering its relationship with client retention.

Given psychotherapy is a dose-dependent treatment, where most clients will need to attend many sessions to achieve a therapeutic benefit (Hansen, Lambert, & Forman, 2002; Harnett, O'Donovan, & Lambert, 2010; Howard, Kopta, Krause, & Orlinsky, 1986), there is good reason to identify techniques associated with increased retention. From our qualitative research, we anticipate that managing clients' expectations enables them to more meaningfully engage in the therapeutic process (Ekberg et al., in press) and is therefore likely to be associated with greater retention in therapy. Our first hypothesis is that exposure to expectation management (Type 1 or 2) will be associated with increased retention in therapy, relative to first sessions involving no expectation management (Type 0). Initial qualitative analysis conducted for this study (reported below) generated a second hypothesis. This was that the occurrence of difficulties during or before the initial moments of first sessions would be associated with an absence of expectation management.

Method

This study involved retrospective analysis of qualitative and quantitative data collected as part of a randomised controlled trial for online CBT for primary care patients with depression (Kessler et al., 2009).

Participants

Participants were recruited into the original trial from general practices located in Bristol, London, and Warwickshire. The target population were 512 potential participants identified either by GPs during consultations or through a search of practice records for recent diagnoses of depression. Relevant patients were invited by their GP to consent to be contacted by the study team.

Of the 512 patients who were identified, 417 participated in telephone assessments utilising a clinical interview schedule to determine their eligibility for the trial (Lewis, Pelosi, Araya, & Dunn, 1992). Eligible patients were between 18 and 75 years of age, had been diagnosed with a new episode of depression within the preceding four weeks, and had not been treated for depression in the previous three months. Depression was defined as a score of 14 or more with the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) (A. Beck, Steer, & Brown, 1987), and an ICD-10 diagnosis conforming to the World Health Organisation's (2007) classification. Patients were excluded if they had history of alcohol or substance misuse, a bipolar disorder, or a psychotic disorder. They were also excluded if already receiving psychotherapy or if they could not communicate proficiently in English. The 297 patients who met these eligibility criteria provided their informed consent to participate in the study. This included consenting to collection and analysis of their therapy sessions.

Data collection

For the purposes of the original trial (Kessler et al., 2009), participants were randomly assigned to online CBT or to a waiting list with usual care from their GP. If they still met eligibility criteria following the eight month waiting period, waiting-list participants could access the intervention. Data from participants in both arms of the trial were included for the present study. This meant that data involving 183 therapist-client dyads, who participated in therapy between January 2006 and January 2009, were available for analysis. Data included baseline variables and the transcripts of therapy sessions.

Each participant was able to access up to ten sessions of therapy, with each session lasting up to 55 minutes. Therapy was provided through the organisation *PsychologyOnline* (<http://www.psychologyonline.co.uk/>) by practitioners trained in CBT. Fidelity checking using the revised Cognitive Therapy Scale (Blackburn et al., 2001) was conducted as part of the original trial and confirmed that therapists competently adhered to CBT methods (Kessler et al., 2009).

Data coding

In an initial qualitative study, we used conversation analysis (Sidnell & Stivers, 2013) to study a sample of therapy session transcripts collected as part of the trial. Conversation analytic studies of psychotherapy have progressively isolated interactional practices that are relevant to the psychotherapeutic process (Peräkylä, 2013; Peräkylä, Antaki, Vehiläinen, & Leudar, 2008). Our initial research identified recurrent ways therapists managed client expectations in first sessions of online CBT (Ekberg et al., in press). To develop a coding scheme based on our qualitative analysis, four team members (SE, RB, AM, AS) independently analysed 25 initial sessions of therapy. These were selected purposefully to maximise variability in types of first session openings. Team members collectively discussed

their independent analyses to develop a coding scheme capable of identifying a range of features routinely observable in the initial moments of first sessions of therapy.

The primary focus of the coding scheme was how therapists managed client expectations for therapy. A clear space for therapists to do this was identified between the opening and assessment phases of the first session. Within that space, therapists' conduct could be coded into one of three mutually-exclusive categories. The first category was designed 'type 0' due to the fact that therapists made no attempt to manage client expectations. The second category was 'type 1' expectation management. It involved therapists projecting the next type of activity that the dyad would undertake. A third category was designated 'type 2', and involved a therapist attempting to comprehensively manage a client's expectations by projecting the next type of activity to be undertaken and the activity, or activities, that would follow once the initial activity was completed. Further information about the coding categories for this item is available in Table 1.

A second key practice identified through qualitative analysis and in developing the coding scheme was the possibility that difficulties during or before the opening moments of first sessions might impact on whether client expectations were managed. On at least some occasions, it seemed difficulties may have resulted in a truncated opening and that expectation management might be omitted as a consequence of this. We therefore coded for whether difficulties, such as technical problems or one party being late for the session, were explicitly oriented to by either party before they moved into the assessment phase (see Table 1 for further details). Our work on this item resulted in a second hypothesis, that difficulties during or before first session openings would be associated with an absence of expectation management.

Following development of the coding scheme, two team members (SE and RB) independently coded a sample of 30 randomly-selected first sessions stratified to include all therapists. Kappa statistics were used to assess the level of agreement between the two raters' coding. As the three types of expectation management formed an ordinate set, a weighted Kappa statistic was used to evaluate inter-rater agreement for this item (Cohen, 1968). Confidence intervals for these Kappa statistics were derived using analytical estimation (Fleiss, 1981) and bootstrapping procedures (Efron & Tibshirani, 1993) for the 'orienting to difficulties' and 'expectation management' variables respectively.

Kappa statistics were interpreted using Landis and Koch's (1977) guidelines, in which 0.41-0.60 is moderate agreement, 0.61-0.80 is substantial agreement, and 0.81-1.00 is almost perfect agreement. Weighted Kappa for expectation management was 0.78 (95% CI: 0.52 to 0.94) and Kappa for orientation to difficulties in the first session opening was 0.71 (95% CI: 0.45 to 0.97). The level of agreement between the coders on these items was deemed acceptable under the above guidelines. This being confirmed, data for all 183 therapist-client dyads were subsequently coded by a single member of the team (SE).

The coding process identified 15 therapist-client dyads for exclusion from the study. Five dyads were excluded because the client changed therapist mid-way through treatment, three because the client was found to not meet inclusion criteria, three had severe technical problems in the first session that prohibited observing expectation management, three did

not have transcripts of the first session on record, and one dyad agreed the client was no longer depressed by the time therapy had commenced. A further 21 dyads were excluded from primary analysis since full data were not available. This resulted in data for 147 dyads suitable for primary analysis. A sensitivity analysis was then performed on 168 dyads using the partial data available for the 21 dyads mentioned above.

Table 1: Coding criteria

Item	Explanation	Example (focal practice in boldface)
Difficulties explicitly oriented to at the outset of the first session	Can include technical problems, disclosure of nervousness, running late, and so on, and precedes the assessment phase of the session.	<p>[Therapist] Hello [Name], I am glad it is sorted. How are you?</p> <p>[Client] Hi [Name] - feeling better now we're in. I thought we were going to have to reschedule!</p> <p>[Therapist] Firstly I would like to talk to you about the limits of confidentiality. ((continues))</p> <p>(P97-T8-S1)</p>
Initial expectation management	<p>Type 0: No expectation management before moving into the assessment phase</p> <p>Type 1: Therapist indicates that the projected activity is bounded, but does not specify what activity or activities will follow</p> <p>Type 2: Therapist indicates that the projected activity is bounded, and specifies that different activity, or activities, will follow</p>	<p>[Client] So sorry I'm late [Client] I didn't realise I couldn't log on [Therapist] That's OK. [Therapist] Could you tell me a little bit about why you have sought some support around your mood?</p> <p>(P57-T4-S1)</p> <p>[Therapist] I have had very little information about you, do you mind if I start by asking some questions about you and your background?</p> <p>(P3-T1-S1)</p> <p>[Therapist] Ok. Normally in CBT we set an agenda at the beginning of each session so it is quite structured and focused. Today we could discuss the issues which bring you here and do a background assessment so I can get to know you better. In the second session we usually complete the assessment and set the therapeutic goals. Homework is usually agreed at the end of each session as it has been shown to improve the effectiveness of the CBT. How does that all sound?</p> <p>(P58-T5-S1)</p>

Data analysis

Initial analysis evaluated clients nested within therapists, to evaluate a potential clustering effect amongst therapists, but this produced an intra-cluster correlation of < 0.001 . In subsequent analysis therapists were therefore excluded. Linear models were used to examine the relationship between expectation management with client retention in therapy, measured by the total number of sessions attended. Difficulties during or before the opening moments of first sessions were evaluated as a possible confounder of expectation management. Analysis compared crude and adjusted models; adjusted models accounted for variables collected for the original trial (Kessler et al., 2009): client age, gender, trial centre (Bristol, London, Warwickshire), BDI score at point of recruitment, use of anti-depressants, presence of a counsellor in the GP practice from which the client was referred, and orientation to difficulties during the opening moments of the first session. Sensitivity analysis was conducted to include a further 21 dyads for whom data on antidepressant use or presence of a practice counsellor were not available.

Results

Table 2 shows that participants were predominantly allocated to the CBT arm, female, using antidepressants at baseline, and registered with primary care practices that had a counsellor service. Participants who were only included in the sensitivity analysis were slightly older but had similar baseline BDI scores as those in the primary analysis dataset.

Table 2: Baseline characteristics of clients

	Primary Analysis		Additional Participants included in Sensitivity Analysis	
	N	%	N	%
<i>Allocation</i>				
CBT	113	76.9	n/a	
Waiting list, then CBT	34	23.1	n/a	
<i>Gender</i>				
Female	107	72.8	14	66.7
Male	40	27.2	7	33.3
<i>Trial centre</i>				
Bristol	117	79.6	21	100
London	21	14.3	0	0
Warwickshire	9	6.1	0	0
<i>Use of antidepressants</i>				
No	61	41.5	n/a	
Yes	86	58.5	n/a	
<i>Counsellor at GP surgery</i>				
No	63	42.9	n/a	
Yes	84	57.1	n/a	
	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>
Age (years)	36.2	11.7	42.9	14.4
Baseline BDI score	34	8.3	34.1	9.4

Relationship between expectation management and retention

Table 3 reports results of the analysis of the relationship between therapists' expectation management and client retention, as measured by the total number of sessions attended. The crude model suggested expectation management was positively related to retention. Clients exposed to comprehensive (Type 2) expectation management were found to remain in therapy for an average of 1.2 sessions longer than clients who did not have their expectations managed at the outset of therapy (95% CI: -0.4 to 2.8). An adjusted model including a range of client variables supported this, finding clients exposed to comprehensive expectation management remained in therapy for an average of 1.4 sessions longer than those exposed to less comprehensive or no expectation management (95% CI: -0.2 to 3.0). The sensitivity analysis, involving an additional 21 dyads, further supported this finding (adjusted mean difference = 1.6 sessions, 95% CI: 0.2 to 3.1). It should

be noted however that this is not strictly comparable with the primary model since antidepressant use at baseline and presence of a practice counsellor were not available for these participants and so were omitted from the adjusted sensitivity analysis model. In all analyses, the less comprehensive form of expectation management (Type 1) was not associated with a notable increase in retention relative to no expectation management. Analysis therefore provided partial support for the first hypothesis, finding exposure to comprehensive (Type 2) expectation management was associated with increased retention in therapy.

Table 3: Primary analysis of the relationship between expectation management and retention

	N	Mean retention (sessions)	SD	<i>Crude Model</i>			<i>Adjusted Model¹</i>		
				Difference in means	95% CI	p-value ²	Difference in means	95% CI	p-value ²
Type 0	22	7	3.2						
Type 1	91	7.1	3	0.1	-1.3 to 1.4	0.144	0	-1.4 to 1.4	0.058
Type 2	34	8.2	2.6	1.2	-0.4 to 2.8		1.4	-0.2 to 3.0	

Notes:

¹ Adjusted for age, sex, centre, baseline BDI score, use of antidepressants, and counsellor at GP surgery

² P-value is wald test for overall effect of expectation management

Adjusting for orientation to difficulties in the opening moments of the first session had little impact on the estimates and corresponding confidence intervals (data not shown) and did not materially alter the conclusions from the simpler adjusted model. There was, therefore, no support for the second hypothesis that difficulties during or before first session openings would be associated with the absence of expectation management.

Discussion

The ability for therapists and clients to share an understanding and commitment to how they will collaborate in therapy appears crucial for a sound working relationship (Horvath et al., 2011). The current study investigated one aspect of this: therapists' attempts to socialise clients into what will be involved in therapy (Crits-Christoph et al., 2010). When they commence therapy, many clients may not be familiar with the specific process of CBT, or even psychotherapy more generally. It is important, therefore, that their expectations about therapy are managed at the earliest possible opportunity (Ekberg et al., in press). The research reported here suggests early attempts to manage expectations in a comprehensive manner may be related to the long-term therapeutic progress, as measured by retention in therapy. Our analysis found that clients exposed to comprehensive expectation management remained in therapy, on average, more than a session longer than the average of seven sessions attended by those clients who did not have their expectations managed at the outset of therapy. Given eight sessions are required before at least half of therapeutic clients achieve a therapeutic benefit (Howard et al., 1986) – if not more (Hansen et al., 2002; Harnett et al., 2010) – increased retention is key to promoting therapeutic benefit.

Previous qualitative analysis based on observations of communication practices used in initial sessions of therapy (Ekberg et al., in press) identified three ways these sessions can progress. The quantitative analysis presented here suggests the use of comprehensive expectation management may be associated with increased retention in therapy.

Confidence intervals in the analysis are wide, however, and include the possibility of there being no association. Further research is therefore needed to confirm this finding.

Despite its limitations, the research reported here illustrates a novel way in which psychotherapeutic process research might respond to calls for evidence-based explanations that promote successful treatment (Kazdin, 2009; Strunk, Brotman, DeRubeis, et al., 2010). Decades of studies have struggled to identify precise ways in which psychotherapy works to alleviate mental distress (Kazdin, 2009). One element that has been missing is detailed understanding of actual communication practices that underpin the psychotherapeutic process. The study reported here demonstrates that observational research, applying approaches such as conversation analysis, can identify communicative practices that can be codified to enable quantitative evaluation of the relationship between discrete components of the therapeutic process and outcome. Although applied to online psychotherapy here, evidence from other health care settings has already demonstrated the feasibility of this approach for studying the relationship between process and outcomes (Heritage, Robinson, Elliott, Beckett, & Wilkes, 2007; Mangione-Smith, Stivers, Elliott, McDonald, & Heritage, 2003; Stivers & Majid, 2007).

If the promise of the approach described here is to be realised, there will be a range of methodological challenges. Here we consider two challenges identified through our study. The first arises during the qualitative phase. To codify and thereby quantify discrete communicative practices, it is necessary to precisely define a focal practice and formally identify points at which it is possible for that practice to be relevantly produced (Schegloff, 1993). This was relatively straightforward in the present study, as we were able to identify the space between the opening and assessment phases as an opportunity for expectation management. It is unlikely that it will be possible, however, to identify such points for all the communication practices that are observable in psychotherapy.

A second challenge is evaluating the impact of a communicative practice. Although our research suggests a relationship between comprehensive expectation management and retention in therapy, the study design cannot provide evidence of a causal relationship. This is because therapists engaging in comprehensive expectation management could also engage in other practices that lead to increased client retention (Boyd, 1998; Elliott, 2010; Strunk, Brotman, & DeRubeis, 2010; Webb et al., 2010). In the absence of assessing this, it is not possible to determine whether comprehensive expectation management is a crucial therapeutic technique (Barber, 2009) or something of more incidental relevance. This limitation applies to other similar work exploring the relationship between communicative practices and health care outcomes (Boyd, 1998; McCabe et al., 2013; Robinson & Heritage, 2006). This could be addressed by considering more proximal outcomes, such as in Stivers and colleagues' (2009) study of the relationship between questions and responses, although this shift from distal to proximal outcomes may impact the clinical utility of the research. Future studies should consider ways that these and other methodological challenges might be addressed.

In conclusion, the study reported here provides some evidence that comprehensive expectation management in first session openings of online CBT for depression is related to

improved retention in therapy. This has relevance for therapeutic practice, highlighting one way therapists can promote their attempts to socialise clients into the therapeutic process.

References

- Barber, J. P. (2009). Toward a working through of some core conflicts in psychotherapy research. *Psychotherapy Research*, 19(1), 1-12.
- Beck, A., Steer, R., & Brown, G. (1987). *Manual for the Beck Depression Inventory*. San Antonio: The Psychological Corporation.
- Beck, J. S. (2011). *Cognitive Behavior Therapy: Basics and beyond*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Blackburn, I.-M., James, I. A., Milne, D. L., Baker, C., Standart, S., Garland, A., & Reichelt, K. (2001). The Revised Cognitive Therapy Scale (CTS-R): Psychometric properties. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 29(4), 431-446.
- Blagys, M. D., & Hilsenrot, M. J. (2002). Distinctive activities of cognitive-behavioral therapy: A review of the comparative psychotherapy process literature. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 22(5), 671-706.
- Boyd, E. (1998). Bureaucratic authority in the "company of equals": The interactional management of medical peer review. *American Sociological Review*, 63(2), 200-224.
- Castonguay, L. G., Constantino, M. J., McLeavey, A. A., & Goldfried, M. R. (2010). The therapeutic alliance in cognitive-behavioral therapy. In J. C. Muran & J. P. Barber (Eds.), *Therapeutic Alliance: An evidence-based guide to practice* (pp. 150-171). New York: The Guildford Press.
- Castonguay, L. G., & Holtforth, M. G. (2005). Change in psychotherapy: A plea for no more "nonspecific" and false dichotomies. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 12(2), 198-201.
- Cohen, J. (1968). Weighted kappa: Nominal scale agreement with provision for scaled disagreement or partial credit. *Psychological Bulletin*, 70(4), 213-220.
- Constantino, M. J., Glass, C. R., Arnkoff, D. B., Ametrano, R. M., & Smith, J. Z. (2011). Expectations. In J. C. Norcross (Ed.), *Psychotherapy Relationship that Work: Evidence-based responsiveness* (pp. 354-376). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Crits-Christoph, P., Crits-Christoph, K., & Gibbons, M. B. C. (2010). Training in alliance-fostering techniques. In J. C. Muran & J. P. Barber (Eds.), *Therapeutic Alliance: An evidence-based guide to practice* (pp. 304-319). New York: The Guildford Press.
- Del Re, A. C., Flückiger, C., Horvath, A. O., Symonds, D., & Wampold, B. E. (2012). Therapist effects in the therapeutic alliance-outcome relationship: A restricted-maximum likelihood meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 32(7), 642-649.
- DeRubeis, R. J., Brotman, M. A., & Gibbons, C. J. (2005). A conceptual and methodological analysis of the nonspecifics argument. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 12(2), 174-183.
- Efron, B., & Tibshirani, R. J. (1993). *An Introduction to the Bootstrap* (6th ed.). New York: Chapman & Hall.
- Ekberg, S., Barnes, R. K., Kessler, D. S., Malpass, A., & Shaw, A. R. G. (in press). Managing clients' expectations at the outset of online Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT) for depression. *Health Expectations*.
- Elliott, R. (2010). Psychotherapy change process research: Realizing the promise. *Psychotherapy Research*, 20(2), 123-135.
- Fleiss, J. L. (1981). *Statistical Methods for Rates and Proportions* (2nd ed.). New York: Wiley.
- Hansen, N. B., Lambert, M. J., & Forman, E. M. (2002). The psychotherapy dose-response effect and its implications for treatment delivery services. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 9(3), 329-343.
- Hardy, G. E., Cahill, J., & Barkham, M. (2007). Active ingredients of the therapeutic relationship that promote client change: A research perspective. In P. Gilbert & R. L. Leahy (Eds.), *The*

- Therapeutic Relationship in the Cognitive Behavioral Psychotherapies* (pp. 24-42). Hove: Routledge.
- Harnett, P., O'Donovan, A., & Lambert, M. J. (2010). The dose response relationship in psychotherapy: Implications for social policy. *Clinical Psychologist*, 14(2), 39-44.
- Heritage, J., Robinson, J. D., Elliott, M. N., Beckett, M., & Wilkes, M. (2007). Reducing patients' unmet concerns in primary care: The difference one word can make. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 22(10), 1429-1433.
- Horvath, A. O., Del Re, A. C., Flückiger, C., & Symonds, D. (2011). Alliance in individual psychotherapy. In J. C. Norcross (Ed.), *Psychotherapy Relationships that Work: Evidence-based responsiveness* (2nd ed., pp. 25-69). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Horvath, A. O., & Symonds, B. D. (1991). Relation between working alliance and outcome in psychotherapy: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 38(2), 139-149.
- Howard, K. I., Kopta, S. M., Krause, M. S., & Orlinsky, D. E. (1986). The dose-effect relationship in psychotherapy. *American Psychologist*, 41(2), 159-164.
- Kazdin, A. E. (2009). Understanding how and why psychotherapy leads to change. *Psychotherapy Research*, 19(4-5), 418-428.
- Kessler, D., Lewis, G., Kaur, S., Wiles, N., King, M., Weich, S., . . . Peters, T. J. (2009). Therapist-delivered internet psychotherapy for depression in primary care: A randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*, 374(9690), 626-634.
- Kozart, M. F. (2002). Understanding efficacy in psychotherapy: An ethnomethodological perspective on the therapeutic alliance. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 72(2), 217-231.
- Landis, J. R., & Koch, G. G. (1977). The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics*, 33(1), 159-174.
- Lewis, G., Pelosi, A. J., Araya, R., & Dunn, G. (1992). Measuring psychiatric disorder in the community: A standardized assessment for use by lay interviewers. *Psychological Medicine*, 22(2), 465-486.
- Mangione-Smith, R., Stivers, T., Elliott, M., McDonald, L., & Heritage, J. (2003). Online commentary during the physical examination: A communication tool for avoiding inappropriate antibiotic prescribing? *Social Science & Medicine*, 56(2), 313-320.
- McCabe, R., Healey, P. G. T., Priebe, S., Lavelle, M., Dodwell, D., Laugharne, R., . . . Bremner, S. (2013). Shared understanding in psychiatrist-patient communication: Association with treatment adherence in schizophrenia. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 93(1), 73-79.
- Peräkylä, A. (2013). Conversation analysis in psychotherapy. In J. Sidnell & T. Stivers (Eds.), *The Handbook of Conversation Analysis* (pp. 551-574). Chichester: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Peräkylä, A., Antaki, C., Vehiläinen, S., & Leudar, I. (2008). Analysing psychotherapy in practice. In A. Peräkylä, C. Antaki, S. Vehiläinen & I. Leudar (Eds.), *Conversation Analysis and Psychotherapy* (pp. 5-25). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Persons, J. B. (2001). Structure of the therapy session. In J. B. Persons, J. Davidson & M. A. Tompkins (Eds.), *Essential Components of Cognitive-Behavior Therapy for Depression* (pp. 57-87). Washington D.C.: American Psychological Association.
- Robinson, J. D., & Heritage, J. (2006). Physicians' opening questions and patients' satisfaction. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 60(3), 279-285.
- Rosenthal, D., & Frank, J. D. (1956). Psychotherapy and the placebo effect. *Psychological Bulletin*, 53(4), 294-302.
- Rosenzweig, S. (1936). Some implicit common factors in diverse methods of psychotherapy. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 6(3), 412-415.
- Schegloff, E. A. (1993). Reflections on quantification in the study of conversation. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 26(1), 99-128.
- Sharpless, B. A., Muran, J. C., & Barber, J. P. (2010). Coda: Recommendations for practice and training. In J. C. Muran & J. P. Barber (Eds.), *Therapeutic Alliance: An evidence-based guide to practice* (pp. 341-354). New York: The Guildford Press.

- Shaw, B. F., Elkin, I., Yamaguchi, J., Olmsted, M., Vallis, T. M., Dobson, K. S., . . . Imber, S. D. (1999). Therapist competence ratings in relation to clinical outcome in cognitive therapy of depression. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 67*(6), 837-846.
- Sidnell, J., & Stivers, T. (2013). *The Handbook of Conversation Analysis*. Chichester: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Stiles, W. B., Shapiro, D. A., & Elliott, R. (1986). Are all psychotherapies equivalent? *American Psychologist, 41*(2), 165-180.
- Stivers, T., Enfield, N. J., Brown, P., Englert, C., Hayashi, M., Heinemann, T., . . . Levinson, S. C. (2009). Universals and cultural variation in turn-taking in conversation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106*(26), 10587-10592.
- Stivers, T., & Majid, A. (2007). Questioning children: Interactional evidence of implicit bias in medical interviews. *Social Psychology Quarterly, 70*(4), 424-441.
- Strunk, D. R., Brotman, M. A., & DeRubeis, R. J. (2010). The process of change in cognitive therapy for depression: Predictors of early inter-session symptom gains. *Behaviour Research and Therapy, 48*(7), 599-606.
- Strunk, D. R., Brotman, M. A., DeRubeis, R. J., & Hollon, S. D. (2010). Therapist competence in cognitive therapy for depression: Predicting subsequent symptom change. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 78*(3), 429-437.
- Wampold, B. (2005). Establishing specificity in psychotherapy scientifically: Design and evidence issues. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice, 12*(2), 194-197.
- Webb, C. A., DeRubeis, R. J., & Barber, J. P. (2010). Therapist adherence/competence and treatment outcome: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 78*(2), 200-211.
- WHO. (2007). *International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems: 10th revision*. Geneva: World Health Organization.